





A discussion of the benefits, issues, and challenges faced by our teams in adopting a twotensioned rope rescue system.

This is not a "how to" class for two-tensioned systems.



## **Presented by**



John Myers Olympic Mountain Rescue (OMR) Washington

Dave Clarke Portland Mountain Rescue (PMR) Oregon







#### Why did PMR & OMR switch to two-tension?

Both PMR & OMR were operating two rope systems with one line taking full load and the other operating as a backup or "belay", hereafter referred to as a main/belay system.

Both teams were looking for ways they could reduce the potential fall distances should the main line fail, particularly on long lowers/raises.



# Why Change to a Two Tensioned System?





Both teams recognized from testing that the magnitude of drops/ falls are substantially less with twotensioned systems versus main/belay systems.





#### Mason County High Steel Bridge 420' (128m) above river











Questions teams could ask themselves before deciding to adopt a twotensioned rope rescue system.

## **Divided into four categories:**

- I. Safety
- II. Cultural
- III. Training

## **IV. Costs**







From Tom Pendley's video "How far will you fall" illustrating the difference in fall between main/belay (at left) vs twotension rigs (at right).









Recent testing has dramatically demonstrated that two tensioned lines are less likely to be cut at the edge in a fall.



**Comparative Sharp Edge Tests:** Two-Tensioned Rope System on the left and main/ belay on the right. In all test series, Two-Tensioned Rope Systems fared better. From Kirk Mauthner's test videos.







#### The two-tension system simplified rigging.











#### Both lines can be used for hauling with mechanical advantage.









## Would a two-tensioned rope system improve the safety of your team's rigging?

### **Consider:**

- Fall distance when one line fails
- Survivability of rope(s) after a fall over a sharp edge.





### How does your team like change?

**Being open to** new concepts and flexible enough as a unit to have in place a process to adopt new techniques when it makes sense.





# Do your current systems work well for your missions?





### Do your current systems work well for your missions?

 Are raise lower transitions needed and if so are they effective and efficient?





Do your current systems work well for your missions?

- Are raise lower transitions needed and if so are they effective and efficient?
- Are team members proficient in your current systems?





### Is there consensus on the need for changing your systems?







- Is there consensus on the need for changing your systems?
- If so is there agreement among team members and leaders on what to change to?





The MOST critical component in adopting a two-tension system is not a change in equipment or technique, it is the <u>human factor</u>.



A change in mindset is required for your DCD operators.





Each operator must now be of the mindset that he/she is the "backup" for the other operator. If there is an unexpected failure on one line the other operator must be in a position to instantly accept the full load. This is perhaps the most important human factor management tool that any team considering two-tensioned systems would be wise to consider and incorporate into their protocols.





## If you adopt two-tension, do you abandon your currently established rigging training?

## Are there reasons to continue to train and practice your current rigging system (this may increase training time).





# Who will develop training material and protocols?

- Lesson plans
- Training manual chapters







#### Consider what neighboring teams are doing and how often you work together on technical missions.







#### Timing.

# **1. How will this fit into your existing training schedule?**







#### Timing.

 How will this fit into your existing training schedule?
What won't you be training on while you are learning a new system? What can you drop from your standard training regime, if anything?













#### **Hardware and Software**

Two-tensioned does not by definition imply identical equipment on both lines, nor that unique devices must be employed. Nearly any DCD can be used. The key is dual-capability and insuring that each line has some form of auto-locking function.

Don't assume that you need to buy any new gear. Your existing kit may have all you need.







#### **Hardware and Software**

If needed, would any new gear purchased be compatible with your existing ropes and hardware and how will this impact your standard rigging kits?









#### **Training costs.**

- 1. Time.
- **2.** Documentation: manuals, videos etc.
- 3. Morale.







#### Training costs.

- 1. Time.
- **2. Documentation: manuals, videos etc.**
- 3. Morale.
- a. The greatest cost could be losing teammates to frustration. On the other hand two-tensioned systems can simplify your team's rigging and may accelerate member's learning curve. This simplicity equates to fewer components to master and thus longer retention of skills between trainings.







#### Training costs.

- 1. Time.
- **2. Documentation: manuals, videos etc.**
- 3. Morale.
- a. The greatest cost could be losing teammates to frustration. On the other hand two-tensioned systems can simplify your team's rigging and may accelerate member's learning curve. This simplicity equates to fewer components to master and thus longer retention of skills between trainings.
- b. Or the challenge and fun of learning new systems and improving your team's performance may help to keep members engaged.







