Three different shapes of avalanche balloons a pilot study

M. Biskupic¹, J Richnavsky¹, M.Lizuch¹, F. Kyzek¹, I. Ziak¹, E. Procter², Pawel Chrustek³

¹Avalanche Prevention Center, ²EURAC - Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, ³Anna Pasek Foundation, Cracow, Poland

Background

- Avalanches = gravitational granular flow
- Specific sedimentation (Inverse grading)

RESCUESERVICE





(source: Vulliet et al. 2000)

Previous field studies

• 1975 – 79 (Hohenseter)

•

- 1978 (Alianz technology center)
- 1980 81 (Canada Park Service, Banf) _

None of the balloon was completely buried. All visible

• 1994 – 1995 ABS mono airbags (Tschirky and Schweizer 1996)

0 fully, 4 partially – critically, 1 partially – not critically, 1 not buried No balloons: 4 fully, 2 partially – critically, 2 partially – not critically

• 2001 ABS mono airbags, ABS dual airbags and Avagear collar mono type airbag vest (Kern et al. 2002)

3 fully, 3 partially, 1 not buried No balloons: 5 fully, 1 partially

 2011 ABS dual airbags and Snowpulse collar type mono airbags (Meier and Harvey, 2012).
Dummies with airbags were burried significantly less deeper

IOUNTAINRESCUESERVICE



2012



ANCHEPR

Aims

Investigate the behavior of each inflated system in an avalanche.

• Observe if the shape may have influence on burial degree.



Methods and test site instrumentation

- 3 differently shaped balloons (Mammut Lifebag Guide 30, BCA Float 18, ABS Vario 25,)
- Human like dummies (crash test dummies, 80kg)

RESCHESERVIC

- Tested in avalanche, triggered by explosives (50kg)
- Backpacks were deployed 60 seconds prior to the avalanche release.
- The position of the dummies was measured with high accuracy GPS (<1m) before and after the avalanche.
- Burial degree assessed (Observational Guidelines for Avalanche Programs in the United States, Greene et al. 2010)
- Several cameras and point of view cameras were placed either in the track or across the track







About the avalanche



Initial snow volume	Track	Avg. depostion depth	Max. pressure	Max. speed	Run-out size
280m ³	250m	1,5m	125,13 kPa	18.6ms ⁻¹	130m x 30m

MOUNTAINRESCUESERVICE

MANCHEPR

About the avalanche



MOUNTAINRESCUESERVICE AVALANCHEP REVENTIONCENTER

About the avalanche

		pressure (kPa)	Potential damage
		1	Break windows
2 1 2		5	Push in doors
Were Bar		30	Destroy wood framed structu
CALLS AND THE	and the second se	100	Uproot mature spruce
A THE PART AND A PART		1000	Move concrete structures
		(sou	urce: McClung and Shear 20
	0.00	Max pressu	ire (kPa)
ALCON THE REAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO			

MOUNTAINRESCUESERVICE AVALANCHEPREVENTIONCENTER

HORSKI



partially/not buried

partially buried-not criticaly

not buried

0

Results

Dummy with:	Movement duration	Track	Average speed	Max. speed	Acceleration	Grade of burial
BCA Float 18L	14 s	114 m	8.1 ms ⁻¹	16.8 ms ⁻¹	3.72ms ⁻²	not buried
ABS Vario 25L	18 s	124 m	6.9 ms ⁻¹	18.6 ms ⁻¹	3.36ms ⁻²	partially/not buried
Mammut Lifebag 30L	20 s	132 m	6.6 ms ⁻¹	17.8 ms ⁻¹	3.56ms ⁻²	partially buried–not critical



Results

not buried <

artially/not buried

artially buried-not critical





Results

- 1st field test with three different shapes of the balloons
- None of the dummy was fully or critically buried, all balloons visible
- The farther the dummy was carried it was buried more seriously
- We are not able to judge the efficiency and floating capabilities of the used avalanche backpacks
- Further testing necessary (field trials, simulations...)
- Limitations:
- One trial
- The results are valid only for this particular avalanche

ESCHESERVIC

Can be the shape of the balloon improved?

ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF AVALANCHE BALLOON PACKS

Pascal Haegeli, Benjamin Zweifel, Frédéric Jarry, Spencer Logan, Marek Biskupič, Hanno Bilek, Hermann Brugger and Markus Falk

Avisualanche Consulting, Vancouver BC, Canada WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos, Switzerland National Association for Snow and Avalanche Studies (ANENA), Grenoble, France Colorado avalanche Information Center, Boulder CO, USA Österreichisches Kuratorium für Alpine Sicherheit, Innsbruck, Austria Avalanche Prevention Center, Jasna, Slovakia Institute of Mountain Emergency Medicine, European Academy, Bolzen/Bolzano, Italy Inova Q Inc., Bruneck/Brunico, Italy

2012 International Snow Science Workshop Anchorage AV

Out of 100 people caught in avalanches, how many additional individuals could have survived if everybody was wearing an avalanche balloon pack?

Absolute mortality difference = Mortality_{User} - Mortality_{NonUser}

201 2 Internetional Crowy Science We drahan Anchenage AV

- Benjamin Zweifel: Swiss WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF
- Frédéric Jarry: French National Association for Snow and Avalanche Studies (ANENA)
- Spencer Logan: Colorado Avalanche Information Centre (CAIC)
- Marek Biskupic: Slovakian Avalanche Prevention Center
- Pascal Haegeli: Canadian Avalanche Association
- Hanno Bilek: Austrian Institute for Alpine Safety

2012 Internetional Charus Crience Marken Ancherage AV

All known **well-documented** avalanche accidents involving avalanche balloon packs where ...

- Destructive size of **avalanche was = 2.0**
- Individuals were seriously involved in the flow of the avalanche and/or partially or completely buried.

Any additional inputs are welcome For further information please contact Pascal Haegeli : <u>pascal@avisualanche.ca</u>

Results: IKAR 2013

2012 International Crow Science We dishen Ancherage AV

Thank you for attention!